

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/080,264 | 02/21/2002 | James L. Webber | DP-305654 | 9095 |
| 7 | 590 09/29/2003 | | | |
| DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Staff Mail Code: 480-414-420 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | CULBRETH, ERIC D | |
| P.O. Box 5052 Troy, MI 4800 | 07-5052 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| -,, | | | 3616 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/080,264 | WEBBER ET AL | | | | |
| • | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Eric D Culbreth | 3616 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 September 2003 FAILS TO PLATIFIED 15 September 2003 FAILS TO PLATIFIED 15 September 2003 FAILS TO PLATIFIED 15 FA | void abandonment of this applice it is applicated and the same it | cation. A proper re ch places the appli | ply to a cation in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)]. | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o | f the final rejection. | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in | e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or | tension fee under (2) as set forth in | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR | • | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (| (see NOTE below); | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | pelow); | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | terially reducing or | simplifying the | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel | ing a corresponding number of | finally rejected clai | ms. | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | etion(s): | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely file | d amendment | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does No | OT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | ere newly | | | |
| | For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-29</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) approved or b) disap | proved by the Exar | niner. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme Other: | | Eve Call | th | | | |
| | | | | | | |

9/2-5/03 Eric D Culbreth Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3616





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Contrary to applicant's remarks, the embodiment of Figure 4 still would not stop reverse motion of the cable (all the scallops 170, would pivot away from the cable). The new limitations added to claim 29 would further search and consideration.